|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Menu | The menu is illegible.  | The menu does not have the required information.  | The menu lacks several significant items  | The menu is missing a very small number of required items.   | The menu is complete and legible.  |
| Delivery | The presentation is incomprehensible despite being read. | Delivery relies on constant reference to notes / text, is mechanical in tone, but is comprehensible. | Delivery is highly mechanical in tone, but meaning is conveyed.  | Delivery relies on frequent checking of notes, but voice indicates good understanding. | The presentation is delivered fluently with a minimal amount of looking at notes or text |
| Vocabulary | The skit is incomprehensible due to mis0used vocabulary. | More than half the words are not used in proper context. | There are a large number of words used improperly. | There are a few cases of improper words being used | Appropriate words are used in proper context |
| Pronunciation | The presentation is difficult to follow due to poor pronunciation. | A majority of sentences have serious pronunciation errors. | Less than half the sentences have serious pronunciation errors. | There are only a few serious pronunciation errors.  | There are only minor errors in pronunciation. |
| Grammar | The frequency of grammatical errors makes the presentation incomprehensible | There are serious errors in grammar in a majority of sentences. | There are serious errors in grammar throughout the presentation | There are few serious errors in grammar. | There are only minor errors in grammar. |